

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,698	07/15/2003	Guy H. Lewis	1856-32901 (9814.0-02)	7144
31889	7590 11/29/2005			INER
DAVID W. WESTPHAL CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY - I.P. Legal			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
P.O. BOX 1267			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PONONCA CITY, OK 74602-1267			1754	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/619,698	LEWIS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Wayne Langel	1754			
	AILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply						
WHICHEVER - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re - Failure to reply with Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA e may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w ithin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, d by the Office later than three months after the mailing m adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Oc</u>	ctober 2005.				
2a) ☐ This act	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Cla	aims					
4a) Of th 5)) <u>1-18 and 29-38</u> is/are pending in the ase above claim(s) is/are withdraw) is/are allowed.) <u>1-18 and 29-38</u> is/are rejected.) is/are objected to.) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Pape	ırs					
10)⊠ The draw Applicant Replacer	cification is objected to by the Examiner ving(s) filed on <u>15 July 2003</u> is/are: a) that any objection to the content drawing sheet(s) including the correction or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of Refere		4) Interview Summary				
3) Information Disc	person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) il Date 8-1-63 and 12-22-03	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/619,698

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hershkowitz et al '489. No distinction is seen between the process disclosed by Herskowitz et al '489, and that recited in applicants' claims. Face-mix gas injector means 11 of Hershkowitz et al '489 would constitute a gas distributor, and methane passages 23 and oxygen passages 22 would constitute a plurality of channels having a plurality of outlets. (See Fig. 1 and col. 10, line 39 to col. 11, line18. Hershkowitz et al '489 disclose at col. 5, lines 16-55 that the gases are contacted with a partial oxidation catalyst. Applicants' claims do not exclude the formation of a gaseous premix as disclosed by Hershkowitz et al '489.

Claims 17, 18 and 29-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hershkowitz et al '489. Hershkowitz et al '489 is relied upon as discussed hereinbefore. It would be prima facie obvious to employ at least 20 outlets for each of the methane and oxygen per square foot in the gas distributor of Hershkowitz et al '489, since it would be within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to determine a

suitable or optimum number of outlets for the gases.. Regarding claims 17, 18 and 29-31, Hershkowitz et al '489 discloses from col. 14 line 57 to col. 15, line 10 that the formed synthesis gas may be used to form liquid hydrocarbons by the Fischer-Tropsch reaction.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 17, 18 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is indefinite as to whether the gas distributor recited in claim 17 would require at least 25 outlets per square foot, since this limitation is in a "whereby" clause and accordingly is not given patentable weight. The word "whereby" should be changed to -- wherein -- to avoid this rejection.

The other references are made of record for disclosing various gas distributors for chemical reactions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays from 8 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,698 Page 4

Art Unit: 1754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wayne Langel Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754